United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,777	11/12/2003	Terrence W. Schmidt	1934-7-3	7411
Bryan A. Santa	7590 10/15/2007 relli		EXAM	INER
GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP			VASUDEVA, AJAY	
Suite 350 155 - 108th Av	renue NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bellevue, WA	98004-5901		3617	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/712,777	SCHMIDT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ajay Vasudeva	3617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	<u>ıne 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) <u>3-8,19-25,28,31 and 44-49</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25 and 44-49</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3-8, 19-24, 28 and 31</u> is/are rejected.					
, -	Claim(s) is/are objected to					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	;a.			
Attachmen		_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Invention A, Species 2 (claims 3-8, 19-24, 28 and 31) in the reply filed on 6/21/2007 is acknowledged. Claims 25 and 45-49 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 3-8, 19-25, 28, 31 and 44-47 recite similar subject matter, and the examiner can perform a single search and examination that will cover all of the claims without serious burden.

This argument is not found persuasive. Regarding the restriction requirement on Species 1 and Species 2 of Invention A, a different combination of search terms and strategies is required to search for each of the species, which clearly constitutes a serious burden. Further, Species 1 is considered to be patentably distinct from Species 2, and Applicant has not provided any evidence to prove it otherwise.

Note: Applicant is reminded, however, that upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species (i.e., claims directed to a mono-hulled water vessel) which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Further, regarding the restriction requirement on Invention A and Invention B, the process as claimed in claims 44-49 can be practiced with another materially different product, such as an aircraft or a spacecraft comprising a mission module accommodated in a bay, wherein the product does not necessarily have to be a <u>water</u> vessel claimed in claim 19. This would require an additional search in class 244, which is clearly a serious burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3-8, 19-24, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 19, the limitation "the equipment, supplies and resources" is vague and confusing. It is not clear whether the "equipment and supplies" are distinct from, or a part of, the "resources". Similarly, it is not clear whether the "equipment" is same or different from the "supplies" recited in the claim.

Applicant is requested to provide a clarification and/or correction. However, it should be noted that no new matter is permitted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 3-8, 19-24, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by FR 2618121 ('121).

FR '121 shows a vessel [6] with a bay disposed in a frame (figure 1). The bay is operable to receive a mission module [1]. The specific mission of the module is to provide equipment and resources for the accommodation/seating of the crew and/or passengers. The

Application/Control Number: 10/712,777

Art Unit: 3617

vessel and the module are interconnected at an interface through internal passages (fig. 5a and fig. 5b). The interface is capable of allowing a releasable coupling of the module – and the module's equipment and resources -- with the vessel. A mounting structure [5] is used to releasably hold the mission module in the bay (fig. 1). While the mission module is coupled with the vessel, the module's equipment and resources enable the vessel to perform the specific mission of accommodating/seating the crew and/or the passengers. The vessel is not enabled to perform the specific mission when the module is not coupled with the vessel. The limitation "configured to" has been interpreted to mean "capable of".

Re claim 3-7, the interface is capable of allowing a physically transfer of fuel, water, and electrical power, as well as telecommunication signals between the vessel and the module.

Therefore, the interface is considered as operable to facilitate the exchange of fuel, water etc. between the frame and the module.

Re claim 8, the rudder is considered to be a foil (figures 1 and 7b). As such, the vessel has a foil-assisted hull.

The limitation "mission module is <u>configured to</u>" has been interpreted to mean "mission module is <u>capable of</u>". Re claims 20-22, the mission of accommodation/seating of the crew and/or passengers can be considered to be a special operation mission.

Re claim 23, the module includes an antenna (fig. 4a).

Re claim 24, the deck structure shown in fig. 1 can be considered to be a ramp.

Re claim 31, fig 7a shows a multi hulled vessel.

As noted in the previous Office action, the claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function (see MPEP 2114). If the prior art discloses all claimed structural limitations and is capable of performing the recited function, it meets the requirements of the claim.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-8, 19-24, 28 and 31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record in the attached PTO Form 892, but not yet relied upon, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajay Vasudeva whose telephone number is (571) 272-6689. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 12:00 -- 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joe Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Ajay Vasudeva Primary Examiner Ljory Varido

Art Unit 3617